



General Information:

We request that the ethics proposal be submitted in one file (pdf, docx, rtf, etc.). This should include a (clearly marked) document labelled "General information for participants", "Declaration of consent" and, if applicable, the corresponding application for funding. A pdf file (searchable throughout) is preferred. The file name should be structured as followed: Year_Month_Day_Ethikantrag_Surname.pdf. The Day, month and year should correspond to the date of the application. The surname refers to that of the responsible project leader. The ethics proposal may be submitted in English or German.

We kindly ask you to carefully check whether the "General information for participants" and the accompanying "Declaration of consent" forms used in your application comply with the current standards of the national ethics committee. Many helpful suggestions with regard to appropriate wording can be found on the website of the DGPs Ethics Commission (https://www.dgps.de/index.php?id=186). If German speaking participants are to be recruited, these documents must be provided in the German language.

The application should be sent to the following email: humf-ethikantrag@uni-koeln.de (to the attention of the Acting Director of the Ethics Commission, Prof. Dr. Alexander L. Gerlach)

Please note that Item D., "Are other researchers* involved in the research project?", helps us with due process. Please list all researchers involved in the project (conception, implementation, evaluation, etc.): this information is necessary to ensure that any possible bias can be minimized or ruled out concerning the choice of evaluators.

The page with the signature of the responsible project leader must be signed and forwarded to the Ethics Committee by in-house mail (address: Dekanat • Humanwissenschaftliche Fakultät • Universität zu Köln • Ethikkommission • Gronewaldstraße 2 • 50931 Köln) as otherwise no processing can take place.

Specific comments with regard to Table H ("Checklist"):

H1:

Please check if you appropriately ensure that no disproportionate benefits are offered for participation: Specify if special rewards for participation, financial compensation, or other forms of incentives are used. If so, what are the consequences of this? Which ethical problems may arise and how do you plan to deal with them? Will you recruit participants through other institutions/gatekeepers? How will you demonstrate your relative independence

from these? How will you ensure that participants from these institutions/gatekeepers are not treated differently from non-participants?

H7:

If no written consent is planned, please give the reasons for this (e.g. illegalised participants; illiterates etc.) and explain how consent will be obtained elsewhere. Which alternatives are chosen to guarantee respectful interaction?

H15:

If findings relevant to the participant are likely to present themselves, consent to report these findings should be obtained prior to the study (if applicable, including parents, guardians or caregivers). If necessary, please explain in what manner this is planned to occur, and whether and how the participants will be supported in the evaluation of these relevant findings. If no feedback should or can be given, please give reasons for this.

H16:

In the case of anonymisation, the possible identification of participants by third parties in video or sound recordings should also be considered. The provision of anonymity can also be at the expense of verifying your sources. Is this relevant to your case? If so, how will you deal with this tension? What will you do if they are public figures whose identity cannot be concealed?

H18:

An example of a replicable personal code is given on the web pages of the DGPs.